

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,246	05/09/2006	Yasuhiro Okumoto	03327.2333	9261
22852 FINNEGAN I	7590 07/13/200 HENDERSON FARAE	9 BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAM	MINER
LLP			EMPIE, NATHAN H	
	RK AVENUE, NW ON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	71, DC 20001 1115		1792	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Applicant(s) Application No.

Interview Summary	10/539,246	OKUMOTO ET AL.					
merview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NATHAN H. EMPIE	1792					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>NATHAN H. EMPIE</u> .	(3) <i>David Hill</i> .						
(2) <u>Paul Gurzo</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 08 July 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, and 11.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Chen et al.</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed applicant's proposed arguments with respect to the "more than once and consecutive" and "reprocessed" claim limitations. A discussion of the application for sources of amendable claim matter was discussed but did not immediately result at a tanaible conclusion. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FORM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Nathan H Empie/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792							